



**CENTRAL VIRGINIA WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY
BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING AGENDA
FRIDAY, APRIL 16, 2010
CRATER PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION
PETERSBURG, VIRGINIA**

CALL TO ORDER **9:00 a. m.**

CHAIRMAN'S AGENDA

CERTIFICATION OF QUORUM

ITEM NO.	Page(s)
1. Public Comment Period	
2. Minutes of the Regular Meeting of March 19, 2010	3 - 18
3. Chairman's Report	
4. Treasurer's Report Financial Reports for March 2010	19 - 25

STAFF AGENDA

ITEM NO.	
5. 2009 Recycling Rate Report	26
6. Curbside Recycling Program	
7. Municipal Solid Waste Program	
8. Operations and Program Statistics	
9. Earth Day	
10. Public Information	

11. Administrative

Upcoming Meetings:

Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	May 6, 2010	9:00 a.m.
Curbside Education Advisory Committee	May 6, 2010	10:00 a.m.
Executive Committee	May 10, 2010	2:00 p.m.
Board of Directors	May 21, 2010	9:00 a.m.

OLD/NEW BUSINESS

ADJOURNMENT

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF MARCH 19, 2010

The minutes of the regular Board of Directors' meeting held on March 19, 2010 are presented for your consideration and approval.

Recommended Action: Approve minutes.

Attachments

**CENTRAL VIRGINIA WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY
BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING
MARCH 19, 2010
MINUTES
2104 W. LABURNUM AVENUE
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA**

MEMBERS/ALTERNATES PRESENT

Voting:

Marcia R. Phillips (M-Chesterfield), Chairman
Mark Kukoski (M-Richmond), Vice-Chairman
Matthew D. Benka (M-Richmond), Treasurer
Elliot Danburg (M-Powhatan), Secretary
Leigh Dunn (M-Goochland), Director
Marcia E. Kelley (M-Henrico), Immediate Past Chair
Jack Miniclier (M-Charles City)
Robert L. Dunn (M-Chesterfield)
Robert C. Key (A-Chesterfield)
William E. Henley (A-Colonial Heights)
Steve Chidsey (M-Hanover)
Michael Flagg (A-Hanover)
Gentry Bell (M-Henrico)
Robert C. Whiteman (M-Henrico)
John Fountain (A-Hopewell)
James H. Burrell (M-New Kent)
Bill Hamby, Jr. (A-Prince George)
William Rigglesman (A-Petersburg)

Non Voting:

Howard Heltman (A-Chesterfield)
Steve Yob (A-Henrico)

Staff:

Kimberly A. Hynes, Executive Director
Bernard B. Harris, Director of Operations
Nan B. Downey, Director of Administration and Finance
Nancy W. Drumheller, Public Information Coordinator
Reginald D. Thompson, Operations Technician
Valerie Pegues-Johnson, Administrative Assistant

MEMBERS/ALTERNATES NOT PRESENT

W. C. Lawing (M-Ashland)
Josh Farrar (A-Ashland)
John T. Bragg (A-Charles City)
Charles E. Dane (A-Chesterfield)
Richard A. Anzolut, Jr. (M-Colonial Heights)
Paul E. Drumwright (A-Goochland)
Robert Setliff (M-Hanover)
Steve Herzog (A-Hanover)
Arthur D. Petrini (A-Henrico)
William I. Mawyer, Jr. (A-Henrico)
Phillip E. Elliott (M-Hopewell)
James Tacosa (A-New Kent)
Michael D. Briddell (M-Petersburg)
Christopher Rapp (A-Powhatan)
William G. Kuthy (M-Prince George)
Dexter White (M-Richmond)

Guest

Jeff Howard, Environmental Program Mgr.
Chesterfield

With a quorum in attendance, Chairman M. R. Phillips (M-Chesterfield) called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

CHAIRMAN'S AGENDA

Item No. 1: Public Comment Period

Chairman Phillips opened the floor to receive public comment. With no requests from the public to address the Board, Chairman Phillips closed the public comment period.

Item No. 2: Minutes of the Regular Meeting of February 19, 2010

Mrs. K. A. Hynes, Executive Director indicated one correction to the drafted minutes of the February 19, 2010, Board of Directors meeting; page 4, the representation of Petersburg is incorrect. Mr. W. Rigglesman was present in place of Mr. M. D. Briddell. A motion was made by Mr. R. L. Dunn (M-Chesterfield), seconded by Mr. R. C. Whiteman (M-Henrico), and carried that the minutes of the February 19, 2010, Central Virginia Waste Management Authority (CVWMA) Board of Directors meeting be approved with noted correction.

Appointment of the Nominating Committee

Chairman Phillips announced that she has selected the Nominating Committee who will present the slate of officers for the 2010-11 fiscal year. Chairman Phillips appointed Mr. J. Miniclier (M-Charles City), Mr. G. Bell (M-Henrico), and Mrs. M. E. Kelley (M-Henrico), Immediate Past Chair, to serve as Chairman of the CVWMA Nominating Committee. She added that the Nominating Committee will present to the Board of Directors a slate of officers for the ensuing fiscal year during the May 16 Board of Directors' meeting. The elections will be held in June and the installation of the new officers would occur at the first Board of Directors meeting in the new fiscal year.

STAFF AGENDA

Item No. 4: 2010 General Assembly Update

Mrs. Hynes informed the Board that the format of the March 19 has undergone a slight change in that the distribution of the Board agenda was sent through electronic mail. She noted that a hard copy can be forwarded to those that request it or don't have electronic mail. Mrs. Hynes added that in an effort to improve cost reduction and paper waste, information in the Board package has been minimized. She then directed the Board's attention to a slide presentation at the front of the room and updated them on the legislative activity of the 2010 General Assembly.

Mrs. Hynes reported that **SB235**, which originally was to assess a \$2 per ton charge on all solid waste disposals has been modified to include language that the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) covers 75 to 100 percent of their costs for permitting activities. Mrs. Hynes informed the Board that this bill did pass the Senate; however was defeated in the House Committee on Agriculture. She asserted that the same language has been included in the Senate budget amendment and that the Senate has included in the budget amendment \$1,750,000 in additional fees to be assessed on all permitted solid waste facilities in the Commonwealth.

Mrs. Hynes reported that **SB234** is similar to **SB235**. It will authorize the Virginia Waste Management Board to establish a fee system for hazardous waste facilities sufficient to pay 100 percent of the direct costs for processing a permit. This bill passed the House Committee on Agriculture and is still included in the budget at a \$250,000 level.

Moving on, Mrs. Hynes then reported that **SB525** which would have diverted the litter tax money away from the Litter and Recycling Fund and provided it for Virginia tourism was left in the Finance Committee. **HB521** and **HB1115 (plastic bags)** failed, while **HB326** which authorizes localities to prohibit the disposal of mercury thermostats in landfills passed and has been signed by the Governor.

Concluding, Mrs. Hynes reported that **HB385**; suspension of certain State mandates for two years has passed both Houses and is awaiting the Governor's signature. Mrs. Hynes went on to report that **SB682**, which would require school boards to report any recycling policies implemented or in place in any of its schools has passed the Senate, however was continued to 2011 in the House Education Committee.

Item No. 5: Consideration of Resolution 10-13: Amendment to the Central Virginia Solid Waste Management Plan

Mr. Harris reported that the amendment to the Central Virginia Solid Waste Management Plan would include the proposed expansion of the Tri-Cities Regional Disposal and Recycling Services (formerly the City of Petersburg Landfill). He continued by reminding the Board that the City of Petersburg sold their landfill to Container First Services Disposal & Recycling Group, LLC in 2009. Mr. Harris went on to report that CFS has submitted a Part B Application to the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) to increase the capacity of existing cells. The company will follow that up with permit amendments to add additional cells and a transfer station. Mr. Harris asserted that the site is currently permitted for an average daily waste intake of 162 tons per day with a maximum of 175 tons per day. He noted that the permit modification for Cells D and E expansion increases the maximum daily waste intake to 400–650 tons per day. Mr. Harris added that the expanded facility will provide convenient and economical waste disposal and recycling services in the Tri-Cities Area, Prince George County, Dinwiddie County, and surrounding jurisdictions.

Continuing, Mr. Harris reported that the expansion when completed will result in approximately 4 million cubic yards of additional disposal capacity for the site and an estimated life of 20 years with on-going transfer station operations. He asserted that it is anticipated that the maximum daily waste intake for the final expansion will be 1,000 tons per day for the landfill and 1,000 tons per day for the transfer station. Mr. Harris went on to comment that one objective of the SWMP is to assure that a region has a viable plan for managing the solid waste generated within the Region over the 20-year Plan life. This includes having identified adequate disposal capacity for that portion of the solid waste that is not recycled. Mr. Harris informed the Board that the expansion and continued operation of the Tri-Cities landfill will help assure adequate and economical disposal capacity for the region over the life of the Plan.

Proceeding, Mr. Harris reminded the Board of the January 14, 2010 Board of Directors' meeting where the CVWMA received no comments or opposition to this amendment. He noted that the Crater PDC approved the amendment on February 11 and the Richmond Regional PDC approved it on March 11, 2010.

Mr. Harris directed the Board's attention to **Resolution 10-13** on page 14-15 of the agenda package, which will authorize the Authority to submit the amendment to the DEQ.

Chairman Phillips then opened the floor for a motion to approve **Resolution 10-13**. A motion was made by Mr. J. H. Burrell (M-New Kent), seconded by Mr. R. L. Dunn (M-Chesterfield), and carried that **Resolution 10-13** be approved as submitted with one abstention from Mr. J. Miniclier (M-Charles City).

Item No. 6: Consideration of Resolution 10-14: Award of construction and Demolition Debris (CDD) Collection, Transporting and Recycling Services Contract

Mr. Harris reported that a Request for Proposals (RFP 10-46) for Collection, Transporting and Recycling of Construction and Demolition Debris was issued December 15, 2009 to provide for the diversion of CDD from the municipal solid waste stream. He informed the Board that three proposals were received and that CVWMA staff reviewed proposals with an advisory committee comprised of representatives from member localities. Mr. Harris asserted that the recommendation of the selection committee is the execution of an agreement between the Central Virginia Waste Management Authority (CVWMA) and both Ace Waste Richmond, LLC and with S. B. Cox, Inc.

Proceeding, Mr. Harris reported that the contractor will place 40 yard roll-off containers at sites identified by participating local jurisdictions such as convenience centers or transfer stations. When citizens bring CDD materials such as drywall, wood, furniture, vinyl siding, carpeting, porcelain, concrete and other similar items to the sites for disposal, they will be encouraged to place these materials in the CDD roll-off rather than the MSW disposal containers. The contractor will switch out full containers and take the material back to their processing center for recycling. Mr. Harris noted that this process will keep some CDD material out of sanitary landfills and result in a significant amount of it being recycled. Mr. Harris asserted that contractors currently report recycling over 80 percent of the CDD material that enters their facilities.

Moving on, Mr. Harris reported that the committee recommends awarding two contracts to secure the lowest possible costs for participating localities. He added that the fees vary for each collection site and are dependant upon distance from the processing facilities but in most cases are competitive with MSW hauling and disposal fees at the various sites. Mr. Harris asserted that since the Ace Waste Richmond facility is located in the Sustainability Park in Chester and the S. B. Cox facility is located on Williamsburg Avenue in Eastern Henrico, hauling costs differ for each potential collection site and that localities that chose to participate may select either contractor. He added that also included in the contracts are rates for crush and run made from recycled material.

Continuing, Mr. Harris reported that the contracts will be for a three year period beginning on or about May 1, 2010 and extend through April 30, 2013 and contain one three-year renewal option.

Mr. Harris directed the Board's attention to **Resolution 10-14** on page 17 of the agenda package. The resolution will authorize the Executive Director to execute contracts for Collection, Transporting and Recycling of Construction and Demolition Debris with Ace Waste Richmond, LLC and with S. B. Cox, Inc. Special Project Service Agreements will be developed between CVWMA and the local participating jurisdictions interested in these services.

Mr. R. L. Dunn (M-Chesterfield) asked how it will be determined which site either contractor will service. Mr. Harris responded that the locality will make the determination.

Mr. R. C. Whiteman (M-Henrico) asked how roll-off containers will be labeled so that citizens can identify what materials to place in them. Mr. Harris responded that labeling the containers will be a responsibility left up to the locality.

Chairman Phillips opened the floor for a motion to approve **Resolution 10-14**. A motion was made by Mr. S. Chidsey (M-Hanover), seconded by Mr. R. L. Dunn (M-Chesterfield), and carried that **Resolution 10-14** be approved as submitted

Item No. 7: Consideration of Resolution 10-15: Award of Contract for CFC/HCFC Removal

Mr. Harris reported that the CFC/HCFC removal and recycling program contract executed in 2000 between CVWMA and Tri-City Appliance expires on June 30, 2010. He added that all renewal options have been exhausted and that a Request for Proposals (RFP 10-47) was issued by Authority staff for these services on January 19, 2010.

Continuing, Mr. Harris reported that the CFC/HCFC (Chlorofluorocarbon/Hydrochloroflourocarbon) program has been a long and successful CVWMA program working with Tri-City Appliance. He added that these gases are used as refrigerants in refrigerators, freezers, and air-conditioning units. Mr. Harris asserted that both are ozone-depleting materials, the release of which has been banned by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments that requires they be recycled.

Proceeding, Mr. Harris reported that Tri-City Appliance Company, the Authority's current contractor, was the only respondent to the RFP. The contractor currently charges the CVWMA \$10 per unit serviced. Mr. Harris added that this rate will remain unchanged under the new contract and that the contract will be for a five year period beginning July 1, 2010 and extend through June 30, 2015; the contract will contain one five-year renewal option.

Mr. Harris directed the Board's attention to **Resolution 10-15** on page 19 of the agenda package. The resolution will authorize the Executive Director to execute a contract for CFC/HCFC Collection and Processing Services Contract with Tri-City Appliance Company. Mr. Harris noted that Special Project Service Agreements will be developed between CVWMA and the local participating jurisdictions interested in these services.

Chairman Phillips then opened the floor for a motion to approve **Resolution 10-15**. A motion was made by Mr. R. L. Dunn (M-Chesterfield), seconded by Mrs. M. E. Kelley (M-Henrico), Immediate Past Chair, and carried that **Resolution 10-15** be approved as submitted.

Item No. 8: Curbside Recycling Program

Mr. Harris reported that curbside collections for the month of February totaled 2,453 tons; a 4.4 percent decline from February 2009. Mr. Harris asserted that a drop of 15 percent in collections is normal from January to February and that this year was no exception as February collections were 17 percent less than January.

Continuing, Mr. Harris reported that collections on Blue Friday, February 19 were a program record; one day of collections totaled 268.2 tons. He noted that in January 2009, the record total was 240 tons, which was on a Blue Wednesday. Mr. Harris asserted that a typical Blue Friday collection is 146 tons; however, February 19 collections was the first following the cancellation of Blue Friday collections two weeks earlier. Mr. Harris commented that one factor which normally impacts set-out rates is weather. He added that typically on days of inclement weather, set-out rates are lower. Mr. Harris went on to report that the cumulative affect of inclement in February resulted in a 33.2 percent set-out rate for the month; this after having been above 36 percent for the previous 10 months. Mr. Harris commented that February total number of set-outs was a little more than 40,000 less than the fiscal year-to-date monthly average.

Concluding, Mr. Harris reported that issues with the Post Office and delivery of the 2010 Curbside Collection Calendar extended the period for bin requests. The Authority's Call Center received 1,060 citizen calls for bin requests during the month of February compared to 761 in February 2009. He added that the 364 missed collections in February were a slight decrease from February 2009; nearly a 50 percent drop from the January total. There were no penalties assessed for collections outside contract parameters in February.

Mrs. Hynes provided the Board with an update of discussions on Chesterfield County's Curbside Program. She reminded them of previous years' consideration by the locality's Board of Supervisors to reduce frequency of residential curbside recycling collection in the County. With the opposition from their residents, they decided to continue bi-weekly collection. Mrs. Hynes went on to report that as a result, the Board appointed a Citizen's Recycling Advisory Committee (CRAC) to review the County's recycling programs and make recommendations for the future. She asserted that the CRAC has during the past ten months, been diligent and thorough in reviewing the County's recycling programs and has made recommendations to enhance and sustain the County's recycling programs.

Continuing, Mrs. Hynes commented recognizing issues in the County's budget and its current financial challenges, the Committee in January 2010 recommended that the County institute an annual \$25 user fee to sustain and enhance the County's recycling initiatives, which would not only sustain curbside recycling but would expand drop-offs for multi-family and small business use. She went on to report that the Board was presented with this recommendation in January 2010, the fee was included in the budget and they have received comments from citizens about implementing the fee. The CRAC Committee was briefed on Wednesday, March 17, 2010 of a Budget Audit Committee meeting of Chesterfield County's Board of Supervisors, where the Board has asked County staff to evaluate the pros and cons of eliminating the curbside program and adding more drop-offs for County residents. Mrs. Hynes commented that she does not want this information to be misconstrued that this is what the County's Board of Supervisors are leaning toward; however, it is currently under consideration. She asserted that county staff has been working hard on offering alternative options. Mrs. Hynes added that it may be important for the Authority to convey to the Board of Supervisors the impacts on the CVWMA and region of significantly reducing the curbside program.

Concluding, Mrs. Hynes asserted that the Authority would like to provide some level of support to the County Board of Supervisors in their decision with regards to the County's curbside recycling program. She added that there would be some significant impacts to the region if the County were to completely eliminate its curbside recycling program; the clause in the Authority's contract with Tidewater Fibre Corporation (TFC) stipulates that if there is decrease of more than 30 percent of eligible households in the program, TFC can renegotiate the contract for the remaining participating jurisdictions.

Mr. R. C. Key (A-Chesterfield) commented that the Chesterfield County staff has put together six options for the Board, which include resident opting in or opting out, eliminating the program, or significant reductions in the number of homes. Mr. Key went on to comment that the County's Board understands that the impact will include a decrease in participation rates. He added that the options have been laid out to the County's Board and that members of the Board have been informed of the CRAC's recommendations.

Mr. M. D. Benka (M-Richmond), Treasurer asked Mr. Key to share with the Board the six options. Mr. Key responded that the first option would be to go with the recommendations of the CRAC; Option 2 is that Chesterfield County opt out of the program entirely and have citizens utilize the drop off location. Mr. Key went on include that Option 3 would be initiating an "opt in" approval similar to Hanover's program. This could mean elimination in the interim. Mr. Key went on to inform the Board that conducting a survey was also discussed. Option 4 would allow the citizens to get a majority of a route to agree to be removed from the program.

Mr. M. Kukoski (M-Richmond) asked if Chesterfield County discontinues its participation in the program offering citizens the convenience of drop-off locations, would the County meet the state mandate requirements. Mr. Key responded that he has no knowledge of whether or not the County would meet the state requirement. Mr. B. Harris responded that the regional percentage would not be significantly impacted. The regional curbside program accounts for 7 to 10 percent of the recycling rate.

Mr. S. Yob (A-Henrico) commented that it is important to note that much of the recycling rate is supported by industrial and commercial businesses although 10 localities spend a lot on residential programs. Mr. Harris commented that all of the Authority's programs together make up about 15 percent of the rate.

Mrs. M. E. Kelley (M-Henrico) commented that Chesterfield County made a decision to finance the curbside recycling program from its general fund and too made the decision to go County wide. Mrs. Kelley went on to comment that the Authority has received pressure from the County in the previous years to prove that the .08 cents per household per month was a fee increasing participation in the curbside program in addition to questions on how the money was being spent in terms of advertising and how money could be best utilized to increase funding for curbside. Mrs. Kelley went on to comment that there was a period where Chesterfield County made indecisive decisions to supply and not supply curbside bins to its residents adding to the high volume of calls to the Authority's Call Center that eventually had to be directed to Chesterfield to clarify the confusion.

Continuing, Mrs. Kelley asserted that the Authority has conducted a \$30,000 study aimed at increasing participation in the curbside program. She added the study was conducted at the urging of Chesterfield County in the interest of increasing participation. Mrs. Kelley went on to report that the Curbside Education Advisory Committee meets regularly to discuss issues on how to increase

participation in the curbside side program. She included that members of the CVWMA Board attended meetings in the previous year to analyze Chesterfield County's financial situation and participation in the program and formed a committee to address the County's financial issues and curbside recycling in the County. Last year, the CVWMA Board voted to reduce the education component in our curbside recycling contract from .08 per household per month to .06 cents per household per month, which has brought on increased pressure to the Authority's staff to operate with a decrease in finances and to develop creative ways to utilize available funds to increase participation in the program.

Concluding, Mrs. Kelley asserted that she would like all of these factors with its history to be kept in mind when considerations are made about the future of the curbside recycling program.

Mr. R. L. Dunn (M-Chesterfield) commented that when Chesterfield County considered a change from bi-weekly to every four weeks collection, the County Board of Supervisors received responses from over 900 County residents regarding the change. Mr. Dunn asserted that with the continuing changes in the County's curbside program, the 6 options Mr. Key spoke on being considered by the County Board of Supervisors have not been communicated to the citizens of the County and that the citizens reaction to these possible changes are just as important and should too be considered in the decision.

Mrs. Hynes informed the Board that the Chesterfield County Board of Supervisors has scheduled a public hearing for Wednesday, March 24, 2010. The only thing that has been advertised is the fee that is included in the budget.

Mr. Key responded that these discussions have been made public through public meetings held by individual members of the Chesterfield County Board of Supervisors. He asserted that he has no knowledge as to whether or not this information has been widely distributed.

Chairman Phillips commented that a final budget will be approved on April 14, 2010. She asserted that there is still time for informal discussions and that she has contacted Mr. Dan Gecker, Chairman of the Board who informed her that this is the beginning of a lengthy process. Chairman Phillips went on to comment that the Authority should be involved in this decision making process since it will have some affect on the CVWMA and its operating budget.

Mr. R. C. Whiteman (M-Henrico) asked that if a significant change does take place, does the Authority have a contingency plan in place. Mrs. Hynes responded that there are contingencies in place in the contract and depending on what's decided, the Authority would need to work on notification and gearing up its Call Center and develop an outlined plan in the event Chesterfield County discontinued participation in the program.

Mr. M. D. Benka (M-Richmond), Treasurer asked how the 6 options would effect the Authority so that if the affects are adverse, the Authority's Board can quickly turn around as a Board and decide in what direction to move. Mr. Key responded that as Chesterfield County staff learns which option is decided on, County staff will share that information with Mrs. Hynes. He added that until that time, he is unsure how the Authority can come up with a contingency plan without first knowing what option was decided on.

Mr. M. Kukoski (M-Richmond) asked Mr. Key if he anticipates that the County's Board of Supervisors will make a decision as to which of the 6 options to go with by Wednesday, March 24. Will the Authority have the option of making a presentation on how the decision will affect the CVWMA prior to the County's implementation of the decision. Mr. Benka commented that he feels it more important to find out how the 6 options will affect the Authority and communicate that information to the Chesterfield County Board of Supervisors.

Mrs. M. E. Kelley (M-Henrico) commented that previous research has shown that it is easier to get new citizens involved in recycling efforts than it is to get frustrated citizens back into a program who have decided to discontinue their efforts due to frequent changes in the program. She added that the County will be up against that as well as what to do with the bins, increased trash expenses, along with other added expenses.

Chairman Phillips commented neither of Chesterfield County's drop-off locations are conveniently located and therefore citizens may not utilize the drop-off bins. She included that the Midlothian District has a high rate of participation of almost 90 percent and that its citizens will be significantly impacted by any changes in the program. Chairman Phillips indicated that she agrees that Chesterfield County Board of Supervisors needs to hear how either of the decisions will impact the Authority and that there will be significant impacts beyond the County. Mr. Key responded that Chesterfield County staff will suggest that there be some transitions to whatever option the County Board of Supervisors chooses.

Chairman Phillips commented that Chesterfield County Board of Supervisors has always been opaque with regards to their decisions and has not had a strong tradition to reaching out and communicating information to County residents.

Mrs. Hynes asked that without any objections, Authority staff requests approval to submit a letter to the Chesterfield County Board of Supervisors to include information on the importance of the program along with statistical information and an offering some level of assistance in their decision making process. Chairman Phillips opened the floor for a motion to approve the Authority's recommendation to provide information in the form of a letter to the Chesterfield County Board of Supervisors on changes they are considering in their curbside recycling program. A motion was made by Mr. R. L. Dunn (M-Chesterfield), seconded by Mrs. M. E. Kelley (M-Henrico), Immediate Past Chair to send a letter to Chesterfield Board of Supervisors outlining the impact of the options being presented.

Mr. S. Yob (A-Henrico) commented that as a representative of Henrico County, he is not taking any position on Chesterfield County's financial decisions and he does not think its appropriate to get involved in the finances of the County. Mrs. Hynes responded that any correspondence would offer information and CVWMA's assistance in their decision making process.

Mrs. M. E. Kelley commented that a clear delineation of what will be the responsibility of Chesterfield County and information provided by the Authority versus Authority staff conducting research for the County in terms of its options should be defined.

Mr. J. Miniclier (M-Charles City) asked what will be the focus of information provided by Authority staff. Mrs. Hynes responded that the impact of eliminating the curbside program in the County and the region would be important to include from the Authority's perspective. She asserted that CVWMA would share statistics that might be of assistance.

Mr. M Flagg (A-Hanover) commented that from Hanover's perspective, there is concern about making a recommendation versus providing information. He asserted that as a representative for Hanover County he too would like to abstain from taking any position on Chesterfield County's financial decisions. Chairman Phillips commented that the Authority would be careful in what information is included in the correspondence and would be very sensitive to financial situations the County is undergoing. Chairman Phillips went on to comment that it is important the Chesterfield County Board of Supervisor's be made aware of the ramifications their decision with regards to the curbside recycling program will have on the Authority and the region.

Mrs. Hynes commented that the Authority would like to be supportive of Chesterfield County sustaining the program. She asserted that from an organization's standpoint, she hopes that the County continues its participation in the program. Mrs. Hynes went on to comment that putting a fee in place is a way for the County to sustain the program in the future so that the Authority is not up against the locality's teachers and police officers every year with regards to the County's budget matters. She further commented that the Authority's standpoint on curbside recycling is in no way any indication that its trying to involve itself in financial matters or decisions made by the County.

Mr. G. Bell (M-Henrico) commented that the fundamental reason we have this organization is the word "regional". He added that when an organization becomes divided, it is not productive for anyone. Mr. Bell went on to comment that an exchange of information is important and that the Authority should defend itself against something that will be detrimental to the overall goal of what the region is trying to do.

Mr. J. H. Burrell (M-New Kent) reiterating Mrs. Hynes comment asserting that the Board recognizes issues in Chesterfield County's budget and its current financial challenges. Mr. Burrell added that the Authority or its Board is in no way trying to dictate to County representatives how to approach or handle their financial matters; however, would like to assist the County in making the best decision for citizens of that locality.

Mr. W. E. Henley (A-Colonial Heights) commented that representatives of Colonial Heights would too be concerned about walking the tight rope with regards to the decisions made by representatives of Chesterfield County with regards to its financial budget. He asserted that much of the meeting's discussion is about advocacy and that any advocacy needs to be from a regional perspective and not for any one matter or matters. Mr. Henley commented that representatives of Colonial Heights would support whatever decision is made, as long it's on the level of advocacy by the region.

Mr. J. Fountain (A-Hopewell) commented that this is a Chesterfield decision and the way the Authority is structure, jurisdictions can pick and choose the programs they participate in.

Mr. S. Yob (A-Henrico) commented that not all of the Authority's member jurisdictions have made the substantial commitment to participate in the curbside recycling program, which has always been an opt in or out program for the municipalities. He added that it would be considered an inequity to say that Chesterfield County needs to continue it participation in the program if the County does decide to opt out of the program.

Mr. S. Chidsey (M-Chesterfield) commented that many of the Authority's member jurisdictions have opted in and out of the curbside program throughout the years dependent on how advantageous

participation in the program is to them. He added that there have been inconsistencies throughout the life of the program.

Mr. J. Miniclier (M-Charles City) commented that there is a fine line on how verbiage comes across in correspondence the Chesterfield County Board of Supervisors receives from the Authority.

Mr. M. Kukoski (M-Richmond), Vice Chairman made a recommendation that Authority staff submit correspondence to the Chesterfield County Board of Supervisors that present issues discussed during the March 19, 2010 CVWMA Board of Directors' meeting and that the information include ramifications to the Authority as a result of any adverse decision with regards to the County's participation in the curbside recycling program and the six alternative options being considered by the County's Board of Supervisors.

Mr. M. Kukoski made a motion to authorize the CVWMA staff to seek a meeting with The Chesterfield County Board of Supervisors and County staff to discuss the ramifications of the 6 proposed options and how they will affect the Authority. Mr. M. D. Benka (M-Richmond), Treasurer indicated that, while he appreciated working with the County staff, there is a short timeframe and the CVWMA needs to schedule individual meetings with the Board of Supervisors members.

Board members continued to discuss meeting with the Board of Supervisors, the County Administrator, and staff in lieu of written correspondence. Mrs. Hynes suggested preparing something in the form of a report including statistics and facts about the program and offer CVWMA's assistance and availability to answer questions. The Board directed the CVWMA staff to provide information to the Chesterfield County staff and the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Benka also asked that the CVWMA staff analyze the impact on the CVWMA of the 6 options presented.

Mrs. Kelley is in favor of CVWMA staff working with Chesterfield, but pointed out that the staff will be providing information not researching and coming up with the implementation plans.

Item No. 9: Municipal Solid Waste Program

Mr. R. Thompson, Operations Technician, reported that Republic Services had 221 misses in the month of February; slightly down from the 230 misses in January 2010. Mr. Thompson went on to report that on Monday, February 22, the company began using its new right and front side loading trucks for residential pick up. The use of the new trucks resulted in the development of new and larger routes for the drivers. Days of collection did not change; however, the size and way the routes are run did. Mr. Thompson asserted that during the first few weeks during the new re-routing schedule, there was a slight increase in missed collection. The company assured CVWMA staff that that once drivers become comfortable with operating the trucks and are familiar with the routes, improvement in service will be noticeable.

2009 Recycling Rate Report Update

Mr. Thompson reported that the deadline for area recycling processors to submit requested information for the 2009 Recycling Rate Report has passed. Mr. Thompson went on to report that 23 of the 50 recycling processors who received a request for information from CVWMA staff have

responded; this includes a majority of local major processors. CVWMA staff is in the process of gathering additional information for the report. The completed report will be presented at the April 16, 2010 Board of Directors' meeting and will be submitted to the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) by April 30, 2010.

Item No. 10: Operations & Program Statistics

Program Statistics

Mr. Harris directed the Board's attention to a copy of the February program statistical information, which was placed at each seat. He informed the Board that due to the short month and weather conditions, most of the Authority's programs saw a significant drop in collection totals in comparison to the normal levels.

Continuing, Mr. Harris directed the Board's attention to the front of the room where a slide presentation was presented detailing eCycling events in the upcoming weeks. Mr. Harris asserted that the events will be held at the following locations:

- Saturday, March 27 – Goochland Fairgrounds Building
10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.
- Saturday, April 10, 2010 – Ukrops located at the Crossridge
10:00 a.m. – 2:00 p.m.
- Saturday, April 17, 2010 – Mechanicsville Solid Waste Convenience Center (Hanover County)
9:00 a.m. – 1:00 p.m.
- Saturday, April 17, 2010 - City of Richmond (baseball field area at Robin Hood Road & the Boulevard)
9:00 a.m. – 2:00 p.m.
- Saturday, April 24, 2010 – Ukrops located on John Rolfe Parkway (Henrico)
9:00 a.m. – 2:00 p.m.
- Saturday, April 24, 2010 - Powhatan Fairgrounds on Route 60
8:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

Proceeding, Mr. Harris reported that the Authority's new contract with Creative Recycling is effective April 1, 2010. He asserted that under the previous contract, it provided for a collection fee for various types of electronics. The terms of the new contract specify that the contractor will charge a \$7 fee for each television and \$3 for each monitor. Additionally, the contractor will pay \$3 for each CPU. Mr. Harris informed the Board that during the March 4, 2010 Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting, members discussed charging the \$7 fee for televisions to citizens at future events, but not charging them for monitors. He added that if there were any differences in more monitors than televisions being collected, the locality will pick up the cost difference.

Concluding, Mr. Harris reported that on February 24, 2010, White Birch Paper, parent company of SP Recycling, and Bear Island Paper filed Chapter 11 to restructure debt. He asserted that CVWMA staff has seen no impact in the Old Newspaper Print (ONP) Program and anticipates no issues; however, will continue to monitor the situation.

Mr. S. Yob (A-Henrico) asked what percentage of regional recycling is done through White Birch Paper. Mr. Harris responded a significantly low volume.

Item No. 11: Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Report

Mr. S. Yob (A-Henrico), TAC Chairman reported that the TAC met on Thursday, March 4. Mr. Yob informed the Board that discussions included much of what was reported on by Mr. Harris; eWaste events; amendment 5 to the Solid Waste Management Plan; Shoosmith Bros Landfill in Chesterfield; and an update on the status of the CDD and CFC/HCFC procurements.

Continuing, Mr. Yob reported that the drop-off located at Fire Station #12 located in the western district of Henrico County has been permanently closed in preparation of renovations to the fire station. Mr. Yob went on to report that Henrico County surveyed about 700 customers and found that 50 percent of citizens who utilize drop-offs located at Fire Station #8 and #12 are eligible for curbside recycling. Mr. Yob informed the Board that the County has placed signs at both locations encouraging citizens to utilize services offered through the Curbside Program.

Concluding, Mr. Yob reported that Mr. Steve Chidsey (M-Hanover) was selected to Chair the TAC for the next two ensuing fiscal years. The change will be implemented following Mr. Yob's July 1, 2010 term expiration.

Item No.12: Earth Day with the Flying Squirrels

Mrs. N. W. Drumheller, Public Information Coordinator, directed the Board's attention to a special invitation placed at each seat for the CVWMA's 20th Anniversary Celebration and Earth Day event with the Richmond Flying Squirrels on Wednesday, April 21. She informed the Board that the event will be held at the Diamond and that Authority staff will be sending a "Save the Date" invitation to each Chief Administration Officer of the Authority's participating jurisdictions. Mrs. Drumheller encouraged Board members to sign up on the sheet placed in the front of the room for tickets.

Continuing, Mrs. Drumheller directed the Board's attention to the front of the room where she presented a slide presentation summarizing events that would be conducted during the event and public information. She informed the Board that CVWMA staff and Tidewater Fibre Corporation (TFC) will provide recycling for the event. She included that information regarding the event has been posted on the Authority's Web site and that staff has also sent out a press release.

Item No.13: Public Information

Mrs. Drumheller reported that during the month of February, the Authority's Call Center staff responded to 9,400 incoming calls and e-mails. The majority of calls included collection day verification, inclement weather collection, and inquiries about the delivery of the 2010 Recycling Collection schedule.

Continuing, Mrs. Drumheller reported that there were over 11,000 visits to CVWMA's Web site. She asserted that Chesterfield County had a heavy volume of activity and due to the collection schedule and the inclement weather that impacted the Blue Week. Mrs. Drumheller went on to report that in addition to the Web site's daily e-mail requests and inquires for the month, 366 e-mail with updated May collection information were sent to every online survey respondent and over 2,000 e-mails were sent to Red Week recyclers with collection day updates due to inclement weather.

Proceeding, Mrs. Drumheller reported that staff was able to filter Red Week customers from the e-mail reminder database and inform them of collection delays due to inclement weather. She added that new contacts from subdivisions in Hanover County were added to the Authority's database for e-mail reminder and CVWMA's monthly e-newsletter information.

Continuing, Mrs. Drumheller went on to report that staff responded to 18 requests for outreach and participated in seven presentations in Chesterfield, Colonial Heights, Henrico, and the City of Richmond; fiscal year-to-date, staff outreach efforts totaled 24,073. Mrs. Drumheller included that an estimated 24,073 people were reached through outreach efforts year-to-date.

Moving on, Mrs. Drumheller reported that CVWMA staff has been invited to present at the Outreach & Education Session at the 2010 Virginia Recycling Association (VRA) Conference in May. She informed the Board that CVWMA staff applied for the scholarship and received hotel lodging, and one day conference fees paid for by the VRA. Mrs. Drumheller went on to report that the CVWMA and Tidewater Fibre Corporation (TFC) will encourage recycling at the Ukrops Monument Avenue 10K race on , Saturday, March 27 by providing recycling receptacles during the event.

Item No.14: Financial Reports for February 2010

Mrs. N. Downey, Director of Administration and Finance, directed the Board's attention to pages 20-25 of the agenda package, which include the Financial Reports for February 2010. She informed the Board that the financial activity is consistent with previous months and the Authority continues to remain within total budget in all funds as of February 28, 2010. The CVWMA has a combined net income of about \$110,000 year-to-date.

A motion was made by Mr. E. Danburg (M-Powhatan), Secretary, seconded by Mr. M. Kukoski (M-Richmond), Vice-Chairman, and carried that the Financial Reports for February 2010 be approved, accepted, and filed as submitted.

Item No.15: Administrative

Mrs. Hynes informed the Board that Authority staff has developed a logo in recognition of its 20th Anniversary, which will be included on e-mailed information from the Authority and on other CVWMA promotional materials. The CVWMA staff will also work on planning a 20th Anniversary celebration later during the year. Mrs. Hynes encouraged members to attend the baseball game on April 21. She reminded members of the sign-up sheet located in the rear of the room and that tickets would be distributed at the April 16 Board of Directors' meeting.

Upcoming Meetings

The following is a listing of upcoming CVWMA meetings:

Technical Advisory Committee	April 1, 2010	9:00 a.m.
Executive Committee	April 1, 2010	10:00 a.m.
Board of Directors (Petersburg)	April 16, 2010	9:00 a.m.
Curbside Education Advisory Committee	May 6, 2010	10:00 a.m.

Old/New Business

Chairman Phillips then asked if there was any further old/new business to come before the CVWMA Board of Directors. With no further business to come before the Board, Chairman Phillips opened the floor for a motion to adjourn the CVWMA Board of Directors meeting at 10:35 a.m. The motion was made by Mr. R. L. Dunn (M-Chesterfield), seconded by Mr. R. C. Whiteman (M-Henrico), and carried that the March 19, 2010 Board of Directors' meeting be adjourned.



CERTIFICATE

I, Marcia R. Phillips, Chairman of the CVWMA certify that the foregoing minutes is a true and correct copy of the minutes of the March 19, 2010, regular meeting of the Central Virginia Waste Management Authority (CVWMA) Board of Directors. These minutes were adopted at a CVWMA Board meeting held at 9:00 a.m., April 16, 2010. Given under my hand and seal of the CVWMA this 16th day of April 2010.

Marcia R. Phillips, Chairman

TREASURER'S REPORT

Financial Reports for March 2010

The financial reports for March 2010 are hereby submitted for review and consideration. The reports reflect the results of operations in the General Operating Fund, Curbside, Drop Off, MSW and Other Special Project Funds. The expenses continue to remain within total budget in all funds. The revenue is below the budgeted amount due to the interest earned on investments, which is causing a deficit balance in most funds. The CVWMA has a combined net income of about \$65,000 for administration, operations and public information for the remainder of the fiscal year.

The CVWMA will be reviewing actual revenues and expenses year-to-date and projecting operations through the end of the fiscal year. The necessary transfers will be presented to the Board at the regular meeting on May 21, 2010.

Recommended Action: Approval of the March 2010 Financial Reports.

Attachments

Central Virginia Waste Management Authority
Summary Statement of Actual Revenues and Expenses By Fund
July 2009 – March 2010

Summary - All Funds

	<u>Total</u> <u>Revenues</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Expenses</u>	<u>Transfers</u> <u>In (Out)</u>	<u>Totals</u>
General Operating Fund	\$ 505,773	\$ 399,438	\$ -	\$ 106,335
Curbside Project Fund	4,251,676	4,257,474	-	(5,798)
Drop-Off Project Fund	464,032	468,553	-	(4,521)
Municipal Solid Waste Fund	2,791,627	2,813,178	-	(21,551)
CFC/HCFC	19,094	19,462	-	(368)
Special Waste Collections	114,865	115,929	-	(1,064)
Waste Tire Fund	35,446	35,639	-	(193)
Appliance and Scrap Metal Hauling	137,978	137,304	-	674
Yard Waste Projects	265,549	266,876	-	(1,327)
Waste Transfer & Disposal	1,658,428	1,665,693	-	(7,265)
	<u>1,658,428</u>	<u>1,665,693</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(7,265)</u>
<i>Totals</i>	<u>\$ 10,244,468</u>	<u>\$ 10,179,546</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 64,922</u>

<i>Capital Outlay:</i>	<u>Month to date</u>	<u>Year to date</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Variance</u>
Computer equipment	\$ -	\$ 6,171	\$ 14,000	\$ 7,829
Office equipment	-	-	2,000	\$ 2,000
<i>Total Capital Outlay</i>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 6,171</u>	<u>\$ 16,000</u>	<u>\$ 9,829</u>

Central Virginia Waste Management Authority
Statement of Revenues and Expenses – Budget and Actual
July 2009 – March 2010

General Operating Fund

	<u>Month to Date</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>Year to Date</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Budget</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>% Budget</u> <u>Remaining</u>
Revenues:					
Annual Gov't Assessments	\$ -	\$ 503,312	\$ 503,320	\$ (8)	0.0%
Interest on Investments	241	2,461	20,000	(17,539)	-87.7%
Miscellaneous/Other	-	-	1,000	(1,000)	-100.0%
	<u>241</u>	<u>505,773</u>	<u>524,320</u>	<u>(18,547)</u>	<u>-3.5%</u>
Total Revenues					
Expenses:					
Personnel services	27,729	245,232	325,250	80,018	24.6%
Fringe benefits	8,994	66,091	88,050	21,959	24.9%
Professional services	1,768	26,992	24,500	(2,492)	-10.2%
Repairs and maintenance	168	1,840	2,830	990	35.0%
Advertising and promotions	30	902	5,500	4,598	83.6%
Materials and supplies	849	3,699	6,900	3,201	46.4%
Other services and charges	833	9,987	19,050	9,063	47.6%
Leases	5,510	30,082	36,825	6,743	18.3%
Depreciation	1,536	14,613	22,200	7,587	34.2%
	<u>47,417</u>	<u>399,438</u>	<u>531,105</u>	<u>131,667</u>	<u>24.8%</u>
Total Expenses					
Transfers In (Out)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	
Totals	<u>\$ (47,176)</u>	<u>\$ 106,335</u>	<u>\$ (6,785)</u>	<u>\$ 113,120</u>	
Capital Outlay:					
	<u>Month to Date</u>	<u>Year to Date</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Variance</u>	
Computer equipment	\$ -	\$ 6,171	\$ 7,500	\$ 1,329	
Office equipment	-	-	1,000	\$ 1,000	
Vehicular equipment	-	-	-	\$ -	
Total Capital Outlay	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 6,171</u>	<u>\$ 8,500</u>	<u>\$ 2,329</u>	

Central Virginia Waste Management Authority
Statement of Revenues and Expenses – Budget and Actual
July 2009 – March 2010

Curbside Project Fund

	<u>Month to Date</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>Year to Date</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Budget</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>% Budget</u> <u>Remaining</u>
Revenues:					
Project Service Fees	\$ 431,689	\$ 3,923,742	\$ 5,460,000	\$ (1,536,258)	-28.1%
Public Relations Assessment	19,906	178,810	245,000	(66,190)	-27.0%
Customer Service Assessment	14,937	134,122	195,000	(60,878)	-31.2%
Contract Admin Costs	-	10	500	(490)	-98.0%
Grants and Sponsorships	-	10,000	-	10,000	0.0%
Interest on Investments	938	4,992	42,000	(37,008)	-88.1%
Total Revenues	<u>467,470</u>	<u>4,251,676</u>	<u>5,942,500</u>	<u>(1,690,824)</u>	<u>-28.5%</u>
Expenses:					
Personnel services	14,493	127,637	170,705	43,068	25.2%
Fringe benefits	3,135	40,559	55,200	14,641	26.5%
Professional services	1,828	20,310	16,210	(4,100)	-25.3%
Repairs and maintenance	264	1,542	1,770	228	12.9%
Advertising and promotions	6,315	53,467	129,500	76,033	58.7%
Materials and supplies	315	3,761	4,205	444	10.6%
Other services and charges	824	59,299	65,685	6,386	9.7%
Leases	4,539	22,906	28,500	5,594	19.6%
Depreciation	519	4,251	10,000	5,749	57.5%
Contractual services	431,689	3,923,742	5,461,000	1,537,258	28.1%
Total Expenses	<u>463,921</u>	<u>4,257,474</u>	<u>5,942,775</u>	<u>1,685,301</u>	<u>28.4%</u>
Transfers In (Out)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	
Totals	<u>\$ 3,549</u>	<u>\$ (5,798)</u>	<u>\$ (275)</u>	<u>\$ (5,523)</u>	
Capital Outlay:					
	<u>Month to Date</u>	<u>Year to Date</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Variance</u>	
Computer equipment	\$ -	\$ 2,071	\$ 5,000	\$ 2,929	
Office equipment	-	-	1,000	\$ 1,000	
Total Capital Outlay	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 2,071</u>	<u>\$ 6,000</u>	<u>\$ 3,929</u>	

Central Virginia Waste Management Authority
Statement of Revenues and Expenses – Budget and Actual
July 2009 – March 2010

Drop Off Project Fund

	<u>Month to Date</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>Year to Date</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Budget</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>% Budget</u> <u>Remaining</u>
Revenues:					
Project Service Fees	\$ 43,792	\$ 448,220	\$ 585,000	\$ (136,780)	-23.4%
Materials Sales Rebate	855	15,600	35,000	(19,400)	-55.4%
Interest on Investments	35	212	3,000	(2,788)	-92.9%
Total Revenues	<u>44,682</u>	<u>464,032</u>	<u>623,000</u>	<u>(158,968)</u>	<u>-25.5%</u>
Expenses:					
Personnel services	504	4,447	5,895	1,448	24.6%
Fringe benefits	107	1,250	1,480	230	15.5%
Professional services	108	1,212	1,760	548	31.1%
Repairs and maintenance	6	61	100	39	39.0%
Advertising and promotions	10	34	2,225	2,191	98.5%
Materials and supplies	(47)	74	145	71	49.0%
Other services and charges	74	653	860	207	24.1%
Leases	211	1,059	1,025	(34)	-3.3%
Contractual services	43,792	448,220	585,000	136,780	23.4%
Materials sales rebate	1,405	11,544	26,250	14,706	56.0%
Total Expenses	<u>46,170</u>	<u>468,554</u>	<u>624,740</u>	<u>156,186</u>	<u>25.0%</u>
Transfers In (Out)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	
Totals	<u>\$ (1,488)</u>	<u>\$ (4,522)</u>	<u>\$ (1,740)</u>	<u>\$ (2,782)</u>	

Central Virginia Waste Management Authority
Statement of Revenues and Expenses – Budget and Actual
July 2009 – March 2010

Municipal Solid Waste Fund

	<u>Month to Date</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>Year to Date</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Budget</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>% Budget</u> <u>Remaining</u>
Revenues:					
Project Service Fees	\$ 311,625	\$ 2,767,077	\$ 3,670,000	\$ (902,923)	-24.6%
Customer Service Assessment	2,225	20,054	28,500	(8,446)	-29.6%
Contract Admin Costs	60	880	3,000	(2,120)	-70.7%
Interest on Investments	791	3,617	31,000	(27,383)	-88.3%
Total Revenues	<u>314,701</u>	<u>2,791,628</u>	<u>3,732,500</u>	<u>(940,872)</u>	<u>-25.2%</u>
Expenses:					
Personnel services	2,445	21,652	29,185	7,533	25.8%
Fringe benefits	494	5,844	7,920	2,076	26.2%
Professional services	665	7,638	6,840	(798)	-11.7%
Repairs and maintenance	36	398	660	262	39.7%
Advertising and promotions	59	205	3,000	2,795	93.2%
Materials and supplies	82	773	1,290	517	40.1%
Other services and charges	446	3,957	5,255	1,298	24.7%
Leases	738	3,727	4,450	723	16.2%
Depreciation	213	1,907	3,400	1,493	43.9%
Contractual Services	311,625	2,767,077	3,670,000	902,923	24.6%
Total Expenses	<u>316,803</u>	<u>2,813,178</u>	<u>3,732,000</u>	<u>918,822</u>	<u>24.6%</u>
Transfers In (Out)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	
Totals	<u>\$ (2,102)</u>	<u>\$ (21,550)</u>	<u>\$ 500</u>	<u>\$ (22,050)</u>	
Capital Outlay:					
	<u>Month to Date</u>	<u>Year to Date</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Variance</u>	
Computer equipment	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,500	
Office equipment	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Total Capital Outlay	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,500</u>	<u>\$ 1,500</u>	

Central Virginia Waste Management Authority
Statement of Revenues and Expenses – Budget and Actual
July 2009 – March 2010

Other Special Projects

	<u>Month to Date</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>Year to Date</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Budget</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>% Budget</u> <u>Remaining</u>
Revenues:					
Project Service Fees	\$ 190,125	\$ 2,043,526	\$ 3,825,000	\$ (1,781,474)	-46.6%
Materials Sales Rebate	19,303	186,143	117,000	69,143	59.1%
Interest on Investments	293	1,691	15,700	(14,009)	-89.2%
	<u>209,721</u>	<u>2,231,360</u>	<u>3,957,700</u>	<u>(1,726,340)</u>	<u>-43.6%</u>
Total Revenues					
Expenses:					
Personnel services	300	2,850	3,900	1,050	26.9%
Fringe benefits	23	218	325	107	32.9%
Professional services	154	6,553	5,800	(753)	-13.0%
Repairs and maintenance	37	410	675	265	39.3%
Advertising and promotions	220	1,309	2,500	1,191	47.6%
Materials and supplies	42	447	960	513	53.4%
Other services and charges	66	690	1,075	385	35.8%
Contractual services	188,624	2,043,011	3,825,000	1,781,989	46.6%
Materials sales rebate	18,688	185,415	117,000	(68,415)	-58.5%
	<u>208,154</u>	<u>2,240,903</u>	<u>3,957,235</u>	<u>1,716,332</u>	<u>43.4%</u>
Total Expenses					
Transfers In (Out)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	
Totals	<u>\$ 1,567</u>	<u>\$ (9,543)</u>	<u>\$ 465</u>	<u>\$ (10,008)</u>	

2009 RECYCLING RATE REPORT

Details of the 2009 Recycling Rate Report will be presented at the April 16 Board of Directors' meeting.